



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/357,841	07/21/1999	MOTOHIDE OTSUBO	017344-0299	9792
7	590 08/30/2004		EXAMINER	
FOLEY & LARDNER			SCHLAIFER, JONATHAN D	
3000 K ST NW SUITE 500	I		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O BOX 25696			2178	
WASHINGTON, DC 200078696			DATE MAILED: 08/30/2004	

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(G)				
Advisory Action	09/357,841	OTSUBO, MOTOHIC					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jonathan D. Schlaifer	2178					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 27 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: ('condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appetexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	ly to a ation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.7 sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. So 136(a) and the appropriate be fee. The appropriate exte the final Office action; or (extension fee ension fee under 2) as set forth in				
1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the pR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.					
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:						
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);					
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);						
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	implifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ıs.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: Second	or reconsideration has been con ee Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NC	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	$\operatorname{at}(s)$ a) \boxtimes will not be entered or by vould be rejected is provided be	o) will be entered low or appended.	and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) applied applied on is a)	proved or b)□ disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	STEPHEN PRIMARY!	S. HONG EXAMINER				

*Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendments would require further search because they introduce new issues into the claims...

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The alleged deficiencies in Catapult, Inc. and Jaakola are not, in the opinion of the Examiner, valid, and the Examiner cites the Office Action for evidence pointing to the validity of these pieces of art for rejection of the claims..